UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/718,286 | 11/19/2003 | Gabriel L. Romero | LSI.87US01 (03-0760) | 1213 |
| 24319 LSI LOGIC CO | 7590 05/16/2001 DRPORATION | | EXAMINER | |
| 1621 BARBER | | MOLL, JESSE R | | |
| MS: D-106 MILPITAS, CA 95035 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2181 | , |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE ; | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/16/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| . 7 | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Advisory Action | 10/718,286 | ROMERO ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jesse R. Moll | 2181 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | ppears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of A for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 periods: | ing replies: (1) an amendment, affidav appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed | it, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the r (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the ma | = - | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp | ire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejection | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 | | E FIRST REPLY WAS FI | LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office I may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL | f extension and the corresponding amount he shortened statutory period for reply orig ater than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in co | empliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two month | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file | d within the time period set forth in 37 | CFR 41.37(a). | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further | | | cause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE b | | • | • |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or | better form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying t | he issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling | g a corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR | | empliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | | | · - P · · · |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). | e allowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendment | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is put the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | II be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | • | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> . | • | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | hut before or on the date of filing a N | lation of Annual will no | t he entered |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | and sufficient reasons why the affidav | vit or other evidence is | necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of file entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is neces | to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe sary and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fail see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | ls to provide a). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanary REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | ation of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ied. |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: ____.

See Continuation Sheet.

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitation in the amendment has not been previously considered and would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are directed to claims which have not been fully considered.

DONALD SPERKS

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER